1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex rel. MARY HENDOW and 11 JULIE ALBERTSON, 12 Plaintiffs, No. CIV S-03-0457 GEB DAD 13 v. UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX, 14 15 Defendant. ORDER 16 This case came before the court on May 1, 2009, for hearing on relators' motion 17 18 to compel further Rule 30(b)(6) deposition testimony (Doc. No. 270). Michael Rubin appeared 19 for the relators. Jared Toffer appeared for defendant. 20 For the reasons stated in open court, defendant's motion to compel further Rule 21 30(b)(6) deposition testimony (Doc. No. 270) was granted in part and denied in part. In this 22 regard, the court granted the motion to compel further Rule 30(b)(6) deposition testimony with 23 respect to: Topic 1 as limited by plaintiff in the joint statement for the time period 2000 to 24 February 5, 2004; Topic 2 to the extent defendant maintains such information in a preexisting 25 form; and as to Topic 3 only to the extent defendant has previously offered to make a person

most knowledgeable available as to certain areas covered by this topic and no further. In all

26

other respects the motion to compel further Rule 30(b)(6) deposition testimony (Doc. No. 270) was denied. IT IS ORDERED. DATED: May 14, 2009. Dale A. Dage UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Ddad1/orders.civil/hendow0457.oah.050109